# United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit

### Chicago, Illinois 60604 NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF MANDATE

DATE:	June 23, 2006
TO:	Norbert G. Jaworski United States District Court Southern District of Illinois Room 142 750 Missouri Avenue P.O. Box 186 East St. Louis, IL 62202
FROM:	Clerk of the Court
RE:	05-3390, 05-3558, 05-3559 & 05-3586 Bradfisch, Donald v. Templeton Funds Inc Kwiatkowski, Vince v. Templeton Growth, et al Woodbury, Edmund v. Templeton Smaller, et al Parise, Joseph v. Templeton Funds Inc, et al 05 C 298, Michael J. Reagan, Judge 05 C 299, G. Patrick Murphy, Chief Judge 05 C 301, G. Patrick Murphy, Chief Judge 05 C 300, G. Patrick Murphy, Chief Judge
	Herewith is the mandate of this court in this appeal, along with the Bill of Costs, if any. A certified copy of the opinion/order of the court and judgment, if any, and any direction as to costs shall constitute the mandate.
ENCLOSE	[X] No record filed [] Original record on appeal consisting of:  TO BE RETURNED AT LATER DATE:  [] Volumes of pleadings [] Volumes of loose pleadings [] Volumes of transcripts [] Volumes of exhibits [] Volumes of depositions [] In Camera material [] Other
	Record being retained for use [ ] in Appeal No.
NOTE TO	Copies of this notice sent to:  [ ] United States Marshal [ ] United States Probation Office  COUNSEL:  If any physical and large documentary exhibits have been filed in the above-entitled cause, they are to be withdrawn ten days from the date of this notice. Exhibits not withdrawn during this period will be disposed of.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents on the enclosed copy of this notice.

Received above mandate and record, if any, from the Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

Date:

RECEIVED

Deputy Clerk,

JUN 2 6 2006

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISCHICT OF ILLINOIS EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

# United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit Chicago, Illinois 60604

#### JUDGMENT- WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

Con Congression

Date: May 19, 2006

BEFORE:

Honorable FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, Circuit Judge

Honorable KENNETH F. RIPPLE, Circuit Judge

Honorable DIANE P. WOOD, Circuit Judge

DONALD BRADFISCH,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

No. 05-3390

TEMPLETON FUNDS, INC. and TEMPLETON GLOBAL ADVISORS LTD.,

Defendants-Appellees.

VINCE KWIATKOWSKI, EDWARD WOODBURY and JOSEPH PARISE, JR., Plaintiffs-Appellants,

Nos. 05-3558, 05-3559 & 05-3586

TEPMLETON GROWTH FUND INC., et al., Defendants-Appellees.

] Appeal from the United ] States District Court for 1 the Southern District of l Illinois.

No. 05 C 298

Michael J. Reagan, Judge.

] Appeals from the United ] States District Court for ] the Southern District of ] Illinois.

Nos. 05 C 299 05 C 301 05 C 300

G. Patrick Murphy, Chief Judge.

The judgments of the District Court are AFFIRMED, with costs, in accordance with the decision of this court entered on this date.

(1060-110393)

appeals for the

## UNPUBLISHED ORDER Not to be cited per Circuit Rule 53

### United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit Chicago, Illinois 60604

Submitted April 18, 2006 Decided May 19, 2006

#### **Before**

Hon. Frank H. Easterbrook, Circuit Judge

Hon. KENNETH F. RIPPLE, Circuit Judge

Hon. DIANE P. WOOD, Circuit Judge

Donald Bradfisch, Plaintiff-Appellant,

No. 05-3390

V

v.

TEMPLETON FUNDS, INC. and TEMPLETON GLOBAL ADVISORS, LTD.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois.

Const.

No. 05 C 298 Michael J. Reagan, *Judge*.

VINCE KWIATKOWSKI, EDMUND WOODBURY AND JOSEPH PARISE, JR., Plaintiffs-Appellants,

**Nos**. 05-3558, 05-3559 & 05-3586

TEMPLETON GROWTH FUND, INC., et al., Defendants-Appellees.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois.

Nos. 05 C 299 05 C 301

05 C 300

G. Patrick Murphy, Chief Judge,

True Copy:

Order

Tark of the United State

These four appeals are governed by the Supreme Court's decision in *Merrill Lynch*, *Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. v. Dabit*, 126 S. Ct. 1503 (2006). Although the plaintiffs maintain

Nos. 05-3390, 05-3558, 05-3559 and 05-3586

Page 2

that *Dabit* does not control because (in their view) the defendant funds have been negligently managed, they have not sought relief through derivative litigation and therefore cannot take advantage of the exception for that kind of suit in the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act of 1998. For direct litigation, labels are not material, as we explained in *Kircher v. Putnam Funds Trust*, 403 F.3d 478 (7th Cir. 2005), cert. granted on other grounds, 126 S. Ct. 969 (2006), a decision that the Supreme Court approved in *Dabit*.

These appeals are not affected by the grant of certiorari in *Kircher*. The Supreme Court limited the writ to a dispute about appellate jurisdiction of appeals by defendants from remand orders under the SLUSA. These defendants did not appeal from the district court's orders in 2004 remanding the suits. They removed again following the decision on the merits in *Kircher*, and the only appeals have been filed by the plaintiffs.

On the authority of *Dabit* and *Kircher*, the judgments are affirmed.